

Chairman

Potto Parish Council

Clerk

Potto Parish Council

Sent by email: <a href="mailto:pottopc@btinternet.com">pottopc@btinternet.com</a>

Our ref RP/47131/SAAA/NY0451 Your ref

Email sba@pkf-l.com

8 March 2021

Dear

Potto Parish Council: audit of accounts for the years ended 31 March 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020

We write in connection with objections made by ('the Objector') to the Council's accounts for the years ended 31 March 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020.

In this letter we:

- summarise the requirements for an eligible objection;
- set out with reasons the objections that we have formed the view are not eligible;
- explain the factors that we have taken into account in deciding which objections we are going to consider;
- set out the objections that we have decided to consider and not to consider and the reasons for those decisions;
- set out the process we intend to follow subsequently; and
- make a request for information to assist us in deciding the objections that we have decided to consider.

#### Introduction

The Objector has given notice of objection to the Council's accounts:

- for the year ended 31 March 2017 on 30 July 2017 containing 71 separate objections in sections 2 to 6:
- for the year ended 31 March 2018 on 1 August 2018 containing 73 objections in sections 2 to 6;
- for the year ended 31 March 2019 on 1 August 2019 containing 86 objections; in sections 2 to 6;
- for the year ended 31 March 2020 on 1 September 2020 containing 96 objections in sections 2 to 6.

### Requirements for an eligible objection

Section 27 of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 ('the 2014 Act') provides that local government electors for an area may object to the Council's accounts concerning a matter in respect of which the auditor could:

- make a public interest report under paragraph 1 of Schedule 7 of the 2014 Act. Paragraph 1 of Schedule 7 of the 2014 Act provides that auditor must consider whether, in the public interest, they should make a report on any matter coming to their notice during the audit and relating to the Council or an entity connected with the Council, so it can be considered in accordance with Schedule 7 of the 2014 Act or brought to the public's attention; and/or
- make an application to the court for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law under section 28 of the 2014 Act.

Section 27 requires that objections must be made in writing and copied to the Council.

Regulation 14 of the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 ('the 2015 Regulations') provides that objections may only be made in a single 30-day period of which notice has been given under Regulation 15 of the 2015 Regulations.

Regulation 17 of the 2015 Regulations provides that a notice of objection under Section 27 of the 2014 Act must specify:

- the facts on which the local government elector relies;
- the grounds on which the objection is being made; and
- so far as is possible, particulars of any item of account which is alleged to be contrary to law; and any matter in respect of which it is proposed that the auditor could make a public interest report under section 24 of and paragraph 1 of Schedule 7 to the 2014 Act.

#### Furthermore:

- in 2015, in exercise of their duties under paragraphs 1 and 2 of Schedule 6 to the 2014 Act, the Comptroller and Auditor General prepared and published a Code of Audit Practice ('the 2015 Code') prescribing the way in which local auditors are to carry out their functions;
- paragraph 9 of Schedule 6 of the 2014 Act empowers the Comptroller and Auditor General to issue guidance to auditors;
- paragraph 1.11 of the 2015 Code states that auditors should 'have regard' to guidance issued under paragraph 9 of Schedule 6 of the 2014 Act.

In February 2018, in exercise of the power in paragraph 9 of Schedule 6 of the 2014 Act, the National Audit Office, on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General, issued Auditor Guidance Note 4: Auditors' Additional Powers and Duties ('AGN 04'). Paragraphs 20 to 28 of AGN 04 provide guidance on determining whether an objection is eligible. We have had regard to that guidance.

#### Eligibility of objections

We have satisfied ourselves that:

- at the time the Objector gave notice of objection to the Council's accounts for each of the years ended 31 March 2017, 2018, 2019 and 2020 he was a local government elector for the Council's area:
- his objections have been made in writing;
- he provided a copy of his objections to the Council; and
- he gave notice of his objections within the requisite 30-day period.

We have formed the view that, other than in respect of the two objections below, the Objector's notices of objection meet the requirements of Regulation 17 of the 2015 Regulations.

In respect of two objections, we have formed the view that his objections do not meet the requirements of Regulation 17 of the 2015 Regulations:

- Objection 3u for 2019/20: 'Police input and possible Legal action.' The notice of objection refers
  to requesting an application to court for a declaration that 'certain items of this account were contrary
  to Law'. The items of account are not specified and, in our view, this objection does not meet the
  requirements of Regulation 17 of the 2015 Regulations; and
- Objection 6f for 2019/20: 'council's anticipated response, re 2019-20 AGAR'. In our view this objection does not disclose any relevant facts in that it relates to potential future events. Therefore, in our view, this objection does not meet the requirements of Regulation 17 of the 2015 Regulations.

### Factors taken into account in deciding whether to consider objections

Section 27(3) of the 2014 Act requires that we decide whether to consider an objection. Section 27(4) of the 2014 Act provides that we may decide not to consider an objection if, in particular, we think that:

- the objection is frivolous or vexatious;
- the cost of the auditor considering the objection would be disproportionate to the sums to which the objection relates, or;
- the objection repeats an objection already considered by an auditor of the Council's accounts, whether appointed under the 2014 Act or section 16 of the Audit Commission Act 1998.

By virtue of section 27(5) of the 2014 Act, we are not entitled to refuse to consider an objection which we think might disclose serious concerns about how the relevant authority is managed or led.

By virtue of section 27(6) of the 2014 Act, if we decide not to consider an objection, we may recommend that the Council should instead take action in response to the objection.

Section 28 of the 2014 Act gives a person who has objected to the Council's accounts asking that the auditor make an application for a declaration that an item of account is contrary to law and who is aggrieved that the auditor decides not to do so:

- to receive written reasons for that decision; and
- appeal against that decision to the court.

Paragraphs 29 to 38 AGN 04 provides guidance on deciding whether to consider objections. Paragraph 33 emphasises that the grounds set out in section 27(3) of the 2014 Act do not constitute an exhaustive list.

#### Objections we are going to consider and not consider

We have carefully considered all the objections the Objector has made and decided which objections we will consider, in particular by reference to the matters detailed in section 27(4) of the 2014 Act.

We would emphasise that in so doing we have:

- considered both the likely individual and aggregate cost of consideration of objections and the sums to which the objections relate in the context of the scale of the Council's activities; and
- had regard to the fact that, under section 27(7) of the 2014 Act, our reasonable costs of considering objections fall on the Council.

We have decided to consider the following objections. For ease, we have grouped objections on related matters and across years:

Our Ref	Your R	Ref			Subject of Objection
	16/17	17/18	18/19	19/20	
A	2a, 2e	2a, 2c, 2e, 2f	2b, 2e, 2f	2b, 2e, 2f, 2j	Precept- and budget-setting
В		2a	2d	2d	Authorisation of payments
С	3m, 5a	2h, 3r, 5a, 5r	2g, 5a, 5b	2g, 5a, 5b	Internal audit
D	3u, 4e	3s, 3u	2h, 3w	2h, 3w	Potto Village Hall Charity
E	3b, 3r	3o, 3f	3s, 3f	3s, 3f	Publication of Council minutes
F	3k	3t, 4s		3h	Preparation of Annual Return
G	3I, 5j	3a, 5m	3a, 5f	3a, 5f	Compliance with Freedom of Information Act 2000
Н	30	3b, 3h	3b	3b	Conduct of business not on the agenda
1	3p, 5c, 5d	3d, 5c	3d, 4b	3d	Publication of agendas
J		3j	3r	3r	Standing Orders
K	6a, 6b, 6c	3I, 6a, 6b, 6d	3q, 6a, 6b, 6d	6a, 6b, 6d, 6e	Action in response to previous audit recommendations
L				4b	Annual Parish Council Meetings
M	4u, 5b, 5m	5d, 5q	5c	5c, 5e	Handling of correspondence
N				3aa	Compliance with GDPR requirements

We have decided not to consider the following objections for the reasons given. We have grouped objections on related matters and across different years:

Your Ref  16/ 17/ 18/ 19/ Objection Reason for not considering									
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering				
2b 20	2d	2a	2a	'Risk assessment' (16/17 and 17/18)	Related objection previously considered.				
				'Financial Risks' (18/19 and 19/20)	Many of the arguments advanced are matters of detail and do not go to the heart of the risk assessment process and therefore the assertion in the Annual Governance Statement.				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
2c	2i			'Remuneration for clerk – salary and	Related objection previously considered.				
				expenses'	Does not relate to assertion in Annual Governance Statement.				
					Cost of consideration is disproportionate to sum involved.				
2d				'Failure to provide	Alleged facts not ongoing.				
				financial records'	Alternative remedy available to objector via questioning the auditor.				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
		2c	2c	'Funds allocated for future audit	Prima facie an appropriate budget item.				
				investigation costs'	Wider consideration of precept- and budget-setting under head A.				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
2f	2f [und	2j	2k, 2l	'Incomplete data on 'Expenditure over	Focus on relatively low value matter of detail of errors and omissions.				
	er 16/1			£100' document' (16/17, 17/18, 18/19)	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
	7] 2g	2g 'Expenditure £100' documpublished Jul	'Errors on 'Expenditure over £100' document published July 2019' (19/20)						
				'Errors on 'Expenditure over £100' document published Summer 2020' (19/20)					

Your	Ref				
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering
2g	2j	2i	2i	'Unreasonable or irrational spending of public money'	Prima facie case for 'Wednesbury' unreasonableness not made out.  Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
	2b			'Making excessive and out of control payments'	Expenditure on individual items in excess of budget does not provide evidence of 'excessive and out of control payments'.
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
	2f	2f	2f	'False items, errors and not 'best value'	Related objection concerning Clerk's salary previously considered.
				in budget for 2018- 19' (17/18)	Expenditure on individual items in excess of budget does not of itself
				'False items, errors and not 'best value'	provide evidence of errors or failure to secure best value.
		included in budget'	Budgets in excess of ongoing expenditure do not of themselves		
					provide evidence of error or failure to secure best value.
				(19/20)	Costs of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
3a	3e	3e	3e	'Published minutes do not record the Council's business'	Related objection concerning level of detail of minutes previously considered.
				'Failure to comply with Openness Regulations – minutes incomplete' (18/19 and 19/20)	Apparent misunderstanding of the Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014: Part 3 relates to matters determined other than by Council, a Committee or a Sub-Committee and is therefore not germane.
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
3c	3р	3t	3t	'Unlawful caveat on	Relatively minor point.
				parish council website'	Wider consideration of publication of minutes under head E.
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
3d	3d [16/1			'Failure to publish Annual Returns	Historic concern that did not detract from exercise of public rights.
	7]			(ARs)'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.

Your	Ref				
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering
	3g			'Failure to publish	Appears not to be an ongoing issue.
				internal audit report with 2016-17 AR'	Wider consideration of internal audit under head C.
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
3e				"Statement of	Appears not to be an ongoing issue
				reasons' private letter published by Council'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
3f				'Failure by council to	Appears not to be an ongoing issue
				give 'notice of conclusion' for	No evidence of detriment arising.
				2014/15 Final Report'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
3g				'Failure by council to	Appears not to be an ongoing issue
				'display a notice' following receipt of	No evidence of detriment arising.
				2014 Annual Return Final Report'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
3h				'Failure by council to	Appears not to be an ongoing issue.
				'consider' the 2014/15 Annual Return Financial Report'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
3i				'Council has included further audit	This is prima facie an appropriate budget item.
				investigation costs in its budget'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
3j				'Failure to complete	Appears not to be an ongoing issue.
				Annual Returns within lawful timescale'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
		3i	3i	'Failure to comply	Very detailed issue.
				with ICO Decision Notice'	Wider consideration of compliance with requirements of Freedom of Information Act 2000 under head G.
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
3n				'Failure to comply with s8 of the Local	Public Notice provides detail of auditor.
				Audit and	No evidence of detriment arising.

Your Ref									
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering				
				Accountability Act 2014'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
		3n	3n	'Business carried out	Very detailed issue.				
				but not on agendas'	Wider consideration of agendas under head I.				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
3q	3b	3c	3c	'Agendas not signed	No evidence of detriment arising.				
				by clerk'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
3s	3n			'Failure to complete	Conduct already considered.				
				'Declaration of interests' accurately'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
3t	3u	3u		'Police input and possible legal action'	Breaches of applicable laws are primarily a matter for consideration by police.				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
3v	3k	3 <u>j</u>		'Failure to display a 'publication scheme' – re s19 FOIA'	Evidence suggests that the Counci has subsequently prepared a publication scheme.				
					Wider consideration of compliance with requirements of Freedom of Information Act 2000 under head G				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
		3k	3k	'Failure to 'publish information' in accordance with Publication Scheme'	Apparent misunderstanding of the scope of The Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014: Part 3.				
					Wider consideration of compliance with requirements of Freedom of Information Act 2000 under head G				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
		31	31	'Failure to 'review' a Publication Scheme	Wider consideration of compliance with requirements of Freedom of Information Act 2000 under head G				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				

Your Ref									
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering				
			3 <u>j</u>	'Failure to adopt a 'suitable' Publication Scheme'	Wider consideration of compliance with requirements of Freedom of Information Act 2000 under head G				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
3w				'Request for a Court declaration'	Insufficiently precise to enable determination.				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
	3i	3р	3p	'Failure to comply with Publicity Code – minutes' (17/18 and 18/19) 'Failure to comply	Apparent misunderstanding of scop of Publicity Code as agendas and minutes do not appear to fall within the scope of paragraph 2 of the Publicity Code.				
				with Publicity Code – eg meeting minutes' (19/20)	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
	3m	3m	3m	'Failure to publish 'Register of Member	No statutory duty to publish on website.				
				Interests' on parish website	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
	3g	3g	3g	'Failure to publish a	Remedial action taken.				
	(18/1 9)			Notice of public rights for AGR'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
		3h		'Failure to publish	Remedial action taken.				
				2017-18 AGAR during 'common period''	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
		30	30	'Failure to publish parish newsletter'	No legal requirement to publish on website.				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
		3v	3v	'Concealment of data – ref s77 of	Alternative remedy available throug Freedom of Information Act 2000.				
				FOIA'	Wider consideration of compliance with requirements of Freedom of Information Act 2000 under head G.				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				

Your	Ref				
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering
			3i	'Failure to comply with S17(5) of FOIA -	Alternative remedy available through Freedom of Information Act 2000.
				ref FS50823426'	Wider consideration of compliance with requirements of Freedom of Information Act 2000 under head G.
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
			Зу	'Unauthorised amendments to	Wider consideration of publication of minutes under head E.
				approved and published council records'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
			3z	'Responsibility for financial expenditure'	Power of surcharge for loss or deficiency removed via repeal of section 18 of the Audit Commission Act 1998 via Schedule 6, Local Government Act 2000.
			3bb	'Failure to comply with Article 10 of the	Consideration likely to require legal advice
				ECHR'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
4a				'Council claims to put agendas on noticeboard one day	Historic issue and no longer a requirement to publish on noticeboard.
				before website'	Wider consideration of publication of agendas under head I.
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
4b				'Council writes deceitful emails'	Does not directly relate to head in AGAR.
					Evidentially hard to establish facts.
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
4c				'Cllr Wilde makes	Evidentially hard to establish facts.
				untrue statements to deceive audit team'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
4d				'Untrue claim that my consent was sought'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
4f				'False data to ICO re clerk's expenses'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.

Your Ref  16/ 17/ 18/ 19/ Objection Reason for not considering								
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering			
4g				'Cllr Wilde's statements to the press'	Evidentially hard to establish facts			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
	4n	4s 4s 'Deceitful response to false comments given in press interview'	Evidentially hard to establish facts.					
				given in press	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
4h				'Clerk circulated	Evidentially hard to establish facts.			
				false data to electors about my consent'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
4i				'Deceitful letter from	Evidentially hard to establish facts			
				cllr Wilde to PKF Littlejohn'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
4j				'False details in risk assessment for 2017 – use of consultants'	Apparently isolated issue.			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
4k				'False details in remedial action plan – correspondence policy'	Evidentially hard to establish facts.			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
	4r			'Reluctance to produce/publish	Relates to delay rather than non-implementation.			
				remedial action plan for 2015-16 AR'	Wider consideration of action in response to previous audit recommendations under head K.			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
		4i	4i	'False assurances	Evidentially hard to establish facts.			
				about monitoring in remedial action plans'	Wider consideration of action in response to previous audit recommendations under head K.			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
		4j	4j	'Deceitful statements	Evidentially hard to establish facts.			
				of righteous policies in remedial Action plans'	Wider consideration of action in response to previous audit recommendations under head K.			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			

Your Ref									
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering				
41 4	4f	4d		'Untrue claim to act transparently, diligently and	Exceptionally wide-ranging and therefore evidentially hard to establish facts.				
		lawfully' (16/17) 'Council claims to be fully transparent'	Wider consideration of compliance with requirements of Freedom of Information Act 2000 under head G.						
				(17/18, 18/19, 19/20) 'False claims of	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
				acting diligently' (18/19)					
4m				'Untrue claim that Parish Plan is	Evidentially hard to establish facts.				
				followed by the Council'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
4n 4c, 4d				"Propaganda in March 2017 minutes' (16/17)	As minutes record business transacted, the minutes may be accurate.				
				'Opinion and inuendo published in minutes' (17/18)	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
				'Propaganda in the minutes' (17/18)					
		4g	4g	'False details and propaganda published by Potto council'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
40				'Untrue statement in Electors' meeting minutes'	As minutes record business transacted, the minutes may be accurate.				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
4p				'Deceitful claim that Newsletters will be	Motive inherently difficult to establish.				
				published on website'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
4q				'Deceitful claim in 'Notes' to 2016-17	Motive inherently difficult to establish.				
				AR'	Wider consideration of preparation of Annual Return under head F.				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				

Your Ref								
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering			
4r	4a			'False 'Notice' – data to support 2016-17 AR not published' (16/17 and 17/18)	Alleged infringements do not appear to have detracted from ability to exercise public rights.			
				'Untrue assertion in council's statutory notice for 2016-17 AR' (17/18)	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
4s				'The Council's false evidence about the ongoing April 2014 complaint'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
4t				'Council's claim that HDC did not uphold	Appears to relate to contested interpretation of wording.			
				my ongoing April 2014 complaint'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
4v				'Council's refusal to provide the public with access to or	Alleged infringements do not appear to have detracted from ability to exercise public rights.			
				copies of AR documents'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
	4e	4a	4a	'False details published in minutes'	As minutes record business transacted, the minutes may be accurate.			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
		4n	4n 4n 'Opinion and innuendo published in the minutes'	As minutes record business transacted, the minutes may be accurate.				
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
	4g			'Deceitful findings in council's document following	No reliance being placed on the document for the purposes of determining the objection.			
				investigation'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
	4i			'Council claims	Relates to isolated phrase.			
				'inordinate effort' handling	Wider consideration of handling of correspondence under head M.			
				correspondence'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			

Your Ref								
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering			
	4j			'Council published deceitful article in Dec 17 newsletter'	Largely relates to statements of commitment which are inherently judgemental.			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
	4k			'Deceitful response to complaint ref	Focuses in part on conduct of individual rather than the Council.			
				February 2018'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
	41			'Deceitful claims made by parish	Inherently difficult to verify some facts.			
				council about SHP'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
	4m	4r	4r	'Deceitful attempts to thwart 'difficult'	Inherently difficult to verify some facts.			
				enquiries	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
	40			'Deceitful response to evidence in Objection letter'	No reliance being placed on the document for the purposes of determining the objection.			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
	4p			'Deceitful response to ICO re casual vacancy'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
	4q			'False description of council status published in the minutes'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
		4c		'False decision about complaint against Cllr Wilde ref '07 Dec 2018'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
		4e	4e	'False claims the auto email receipt	Inherently difficult to verify some facts.			
				system is working'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
		4f		'Deceitful input to Monitoring Officer	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			

Your Ref								
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering			
				about complaint against Cllr Wilde'				
		4h	4h	'False excuses about 'webmaster' problems	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
		4k	4k	'Deceitful statements in response to Auditor re 2017-18	No reliance being placed on the document for the purposes of determining the objection.			
				objections' (18/19)	Cost of consideration likely to be			
				'Deceitful statements in response to Auditor re 2018-19 objections' (19/20)	disproportionate.			
		41	41	'Deceitful details sent in emails'	No reliance being placed on the document for the purposes of determining the objection.			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
		4m	4m	'Council claims in	Relatively minor point.			
				emails to use the 'parish' website'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
		4p	4p	'Council claims it does intentionally fail	'Intent' is inherently difficult to demonstrate.			
				to comply with Regulations'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
		4q	4q	'Council published deceitful article in its December 2018 newsletter'	In so far as matters relate to statements of intent, inherently difficult to establish that the intentions were not genuine.			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
			4c	'False details about review of council	Evidentially complex to evaluate th thoroughness of review.			
				policies'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
			4d	'Refusal to accept responsibility for errors or to apologise'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			

Your	Your Ref						
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering		
			4t	'Persistently vague and confusing responses'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.		
5e	5s			'Predetermination of planning applications'	Inherently complex and time consuming to consider.  Cost of consideration likely to be		
					disproportionate.		
5f				'Register of interests hidden from electors'	No statutory requirement for publication on Council website.		
5g	51			'Council's attitude to correspondence'	Attitudes are inherently difficult to determine.		
				(16/17) 'Council's attitude to	Wider consideration of handling of correspondence under head M.		
		external in (17/18)	external input' (17/18)	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			
5h	5h 5g 5y 5y	5у	'Minutes are vague, contradictory, biased and incomplete'	As minutes record business transacted, the minutes may be accurate.			
				(16/17) 'Failure to correct	Some matters raised are of limited significance.		
				errors in minutes before publication' (17/18, 18/19 and 19/20)	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.		
5i	5e			'Failure to publish	Relatively minor issue.		
				appointment of internal auditor for the 2016-17 AR'	Wider consideration of compliance with requirements of internal audit under head C.		
	appo interr	'Failure to publish appointment of internal auditor for the 2017-18 AR'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.				
				'Failure to publish appointment of internal auditor for the 2018-19 AGAR'			
			5x	'Failure to publish	Relatively minor issue.		
			details of internal auditor for 2019-20 AGAR'	Wider consideration of compliance with requirements of internal audit under head C.			
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.		

Your	Your Ref						
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering		
5k	5h	5k	5k	'Handling of complaint ref February 2017' (16/17)	Inherently complex to consider.		
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.		
				'Failure to investigate complaints properly' (17/18, 18/19 and 19/20)			
51				'False data in FOIA email rejection footers'	Relatively minor alleged infringement. No evidence of practical consequences.		
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.		
5n				'Refusal to publish procedural changes	Arrangements appear to have improved subsequently.		
				about safety of personal details'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.		
50				'Council's actions to	Inherently complex to determine.		
				avoid personal abuse'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.		
5p	5b			'Failure to produce a detailed report for April 2014 complaint'	Wider consideration of action in response to previous audit recommendations under head K.		
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.		
	5f			'Failure in duty to provide 'best value'	Difficult to demonstrate causal link because of role of objector and auditor.		
					Wider consideration of precept- and budget-setting under head A.		
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.		
	5i	5z	5z	'Claims of vexatious actions' (17/18)	Inherently complex to form view on whether conduct was or was not		
				'False claims of vexatious actions'	vexatious.  Cost of consideration likely to be		
				(18/19)  'Council's claims of vexatious actions' (19/20)	disproportionate.		

Your Ref						
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering	
	5j	3x	3x	'Council publishes personal details	Relates to conduct re one individua rather than a general pattern.	
				about objectors' (17/18)	High inherent risk of identification in a small community.	
				'Publication of personal data in Council records' (18/19 and 19/20)	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
	5k	5q	5q	'Clerk refuses to be responsible for own job role'	Related objection previously considered.	
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
	5n	5aa	5aa	'Failure to 'review/revise' risk management document'	Related objection previously considered.	
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
	50	5bb	5bb	'Failure to undertake any training' (17/18) 'Failure to complete any training for the Clerk' (18/19 and 19/20)	No statutory requirement to undertake training.	
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
	5р			'Council refuses to	Appears not to be ongoing.	
				engage with output of Standards Hearing Panel'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
		5d		'Failure to send	Relatively narrow scope of objectio	
				correspondence due to known IT issues'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
			5 <u>j</u>	'Failure to review policies and	Adequacy of consideration is inherently judgemental.	
				procedures properly'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
		5g	5g	'Failure to control Council's policies	Indications of subsequent action to publish draft minutes.	
				and procedures for draft minutes'	Wider consideration of publication of Council minutes under head E.	
					Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	

Your Ref						
16/ 17	17/ 18/ 19/ 18 19 20			Objection	Reason for not considering	
		5h	5h	'Failure to comply with advice from ICO	No duty to publish the Publication Scheme.	
				<ul><li>publication scheme not published'</li></ul>	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
		5i	5i	'Failure to accept further complaints –	Alternatively remedies available if complaints process not available.	
				new formal policy'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
		5 <u>j</u>		'Failure to respond to	No duty to speak to press reporter.	
				press reporter – 07 December 2018'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
		51	51	'Failure to provide alternative	Alternatively remedies available if complaints process not available.	
				arrangements for submission of complaints'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
		5m	5m	'Failure to publish documents to facilitate public input'	Wider consideration of Council minutes under head E and agendas under head I.	
				.ac.mato pount input	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
		5n	5n	'Failure to acknowledge public input as fair – it's	Inherently complex to determine whether conduct does or does not constitute harassment.	
				described as harassment' (18/19)	Cost of consideration likely to be	
				'Council's attitude to, and use of, harassment and intimidation' (19/20)	disproportionate.	
		50	50	'Refusal to have contingency for	Wider consideration of precept- and budget-setting under head A.	
				'additional or enhanced' audit reporting fees'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	
		5р	5р	'Failure to have a	Relatively minor point.	
				'reasonable excuse' for not publishing meeting minutes'	Wider consideration of publication of Council minutes under head E.	
				Ü	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.	

Your Ref					
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering
		5r	5r	'Failure to have a valid policy for 'publishing Audit investigation data in 2018-19' (18/19) 'Failure to have a valid policy for 'publishing Audit investigation data in 2019-20' (19/20)	In due course relevant information will be in public domain.  Wider consideration of action in response to previous audit recommendations under head K.  Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
		5s	5s	'Failure to publish newsletters due to volume of elector's correspondence'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
		5t	5t	'Failure to respond to a personal visit from Chief Officer of YLCA'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
		5u	5u	'Independence of Clerk/RFO'	No indication that the family relationship was not disclosed.  Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
		5v	5v	'Role of 'puppet' clerk'	Inherently difficult to determine.  Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
		5w	5w	'Failure to provide a 'detailed written response' for ongoing April 2014 'super' complaint'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
		5cc	5cc	'Clerk cannot write own name properly'	Relatively minor issue.  Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
			5d	'Failure to ensure auto-response email system works properly'	Inherently difficult to determine.  Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.
			5dd	'Failure to make properly reasoned decisions'	Inherently difficult to determine.  Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.

Your	Your Ref							
16/ 17	17/ 18	18/ 19	19/ 20	Objection	Reason for not considering			
	6c	6c	6c	'Council's document dated 05 December	Inherently complex and subjective to determine.			
				2017 post- investigation'	Cost of consideration likely to be disproportionate.			

In so far as the Objector objected asking that we make an application to court for a declaration than items of account were contrary to law, our letter to him constitutes the written reasons for deciding not to consider those objections. Under section 28(3) of the 2014 Act, the Objector has 21 days from receipt of that letter to appeal against the decision to the court.

### Information requested from the Council

We recognise that the Council has prepared written responses to some of the Objector's notices of objection. However, to assist us in deciding those objections that we have decided to consider as efficiently as possible, we are now asking the Council for specific responses and documentation as detailed in the Appendix to this letter.

We would like to arrange a short meeting by video or telephone conference to provide some context to our request and provide any clarification you may seek. Please suggest some suitable dates and times in the next fortnight for such a meeting. We are available on the following dates and times:

- Monday 15 March 9am 5pm
- Tuesday 16 March 9am 2pm
- Thursday 18 March 9am 2pm
- Tuesday 23 March 9am 5pm
- Wednesday 24 March 9am 3pm

We should be grateful if you would provide the requested responses and documentation no later than Friday 30 April.

#### Process we plan to adopt

We have written to the Objector in similar terms in respect of the matters detailed above.

Following the response to our information request we plan to:

- evaluate the response from the Council;
- prepare a bundle of documents material to the objections that we are considering and share it with the Objector and the Council;
- give the Objector and the Council the opportunity, having received the material documents, to make any observations; and
- having carefully considered the material documents, the Objector's representations and those of the Council, decide the objections.

## **Concluding remarks**

We trust that this letter is self-explanatory. Should you require any clarification, please contact us via <a href="mailto:sba@pkf-l.com">sba@pkf-l.com</a>

Yours sincerely

AF LHY

LL

PKF Littlejohn LLP

# Appendix

# Information request

Our Ref	Subject of Objection	Ref	Information Requested
Α	Precept- and budget-setting	A.1	Reports to Committee or Council relating to precept- and budget-setting for 2016/17 to 2019/20
		A.2	Minutes of meetings of Committee or Council relating to precept- and budget-setting for 2016/17 to 2019/20
		A.3	Any supporting working papers to support derivation of recommended precept or budget for 2016/17 to 2019/20
В	Authorisation of payments	B.1	Analysis of items of expenditure recorded in 2017/18 to 2019/20 accounts indicating the minute approving each payment made
С	Internal audit	C.1	Quotation, proposal or equivalent relating to internal audit for 2016/17 to 2019/20
		C.2	Contract, engagement letter or equivalent relating to internal audit for 2016/17 to 2019/20
		C.3	Documentation setting out agreed scope of internal audit work for 2017/18 to 2019/20
D	Potto Village Hall Charity	D.1	Deed or equivalent transferring trusteeship from the Council to individual trustees
		D.2	Minute of the Council authorising transfer of trusteeship from the Council to individual trustees
E	Publication of Council minutes	E.1	Schedule of Council meetings with date of meeting, date of publication of draft minutes online, date of publication of draft minutes on notice board, date of publication of approved minutes online, date of publication of approved minutes on notice board for 2016/17 to 2019/20
F	Preparation of Annual Return	F.1	Reports to support approval of Annual Returns for 2016/17 to 2019/20
		F.2	Any other information prepared to support Annual Governance Statement for 2016/17 to 2019/20
G	Compliance with Freedom of Information Act 2000	G.1	The case number of any decision of the Information Commissioner relating to 2016/17 to 2019/20 in respect of which the facts are disputed by the Council with reasons for disputing them.
		G.2	Summary of arrangements in place for compliance with the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 for 2016/17 to 2019/20

Our Ref	Subject of Objection	Ref	Information Requested
			Schedule of action taken in response to Decision Notices by the Information Commissioner for 2016/17 to 2019/20
		G.4	Internal policies and procedures for compliance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and Information Commissioner Codes of Practice for 2016/17 to 2019/20
		G.5	Description of arrangements for compliance with Freedom of Information Act 2000 and Information Commissioner Codes of Practice for 2016/17 to 2019/20
Н	Conduct of business not on the agenda	H.1	Analysis of Council minutes for 2016/17 to 2019/20 linking each item in minutes to agenda for the relevant meeting
I	Publication of agendas	I.1	Schedule of Council meetings with date of meeting, date of publication of agenda online and date of publication of agenda on notice board for 2016/17 to 2019/20
J	Standing Orders	J.1	Copies of Standing Orders in force for 2017/18 to 2019/20 with dates in force.
		J.2	Copies of reports recommending adoption or review of Standing Orders for 2017/18 to 2019/20
		J.3	Copies of minutes adopting or recording review of Standing Orders for 2017/18 to 2019/20
K	Action in response to previous audit recommendations	K.1	Copy of any action plan or equivalent submitted to the Council in respect of recommendations made by the external auditor for 2014/15 or a subsequent period
		K.2	Details of actions implemented or planned but not yet implemented in respect of recommendations made by the external auditor for 2014/15 or a subsequent period
L	Annual Parish Council Meetings	L.1	Agenda for Annual Parish Council Meetings for 2016/17 to 2019/20
		L.2	Minutes of Annual Parish Council Meetings for 2016/17 to 2019/20
М	Handling of correspondence	M.1	Any procedures for handling of correspondence for 2016/17 to 2019/20
		M.2	Any schedules of correspondence received, reported to Council and responded to maintained for 2016/17 to 2019/20

Our Ref	Subject of Objection	Ref	Information Requested
		M.3	Brief description of arrangements for ensuring that correspondence received is reported to Council and responded to
N	Compliance with GDPR 2018	N.1	Copies of evidence to demonstrate compliance with the Council's responsibilities in respect of GDPR including appointment of a Data Protection Officer