

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Cllr.Mike Cockerill <Cllr.Mike.Cockerill@scarborough.gov.uk>

**Sent:** 07 September 2022 22:22

**To:** Carol Rehill <Carol.Rehill@scarborough.gov.uk>

**Cc:** Cllr.Guy Coulson <Cllr.Guy.Coulson@scarborough.gov.uk>; Marc Cole <Marc.Cole@scarborough.gov.uk>; Mike Greene <Mike.Greene@scarborough.gov.uk>; Nick Edwards <Nick.Edwards@scarborough.gov.uk>; Lisa Dixon <Lisa.Dixon@scarborough.gov.uk>; StJohn Harris <StJohn.Harris@scarborough.gov.uk>; Cllr.Derek Bastiman <Cllr.Derek.Bastiman@scarborough.gov.uk>; Cllr.Sam Cross <Cllr.Sam.Cross@scarborough.gov.uk>; Cllr.Neil Heritage <Cllr.Neil.Heritage@scarborough.gov.uk>; Cllr.Guy Smith <Cllr.Guy.Smith@scarborough.gov.uk>; Cllr.Clive Pearson <Cllr.Clive.Pearson@scarborough.gov.uk>; Cllr.Heather Phillips <Cllr.Heather.Phillips@scarborough.gov.uk>; Cllr.Bill Chatt <Cllr.Bill.Chatt@scarborough.gov.uk>

**Subject:** Re: Confidential papers

Evening Carol

I have been out of the country since O&S so am only now catching up.

The “simple” question that I need to be answered is “ What consideration, if any, was given to the alternative offer to acquire the site of the former Indoor Swimming Pool?

Additionally what, if any, dialogue was entered into with the alternative company to discuss its thoughts in regard to the possible future use of the same site?

Regards

Mike Cockerill

Representing Filey on SBC

---

**NOTA BENE**

Councillor COCKERILL asks **TWO** questions:

1. *“What consideration, if any, was given to the alternative offer to acquire the site of the former Indoor Swimming Pool?”*
2. *“What, if any, dialogue was entered into with the alternative company to discuss its thoughts in regard to the possible future use of the same site?”*

**From:** Carol Rehill <Carol.Rehill@scarborough.gov.uk>  
**Date:** 8 September 2022 at 11:09:17 BST  
**To:** "Cllr.Mike Cockerill" <Cllr.Mike.Cockerill@scarborough.gov.uk>  
**Cc:** "Cllr.Guy Coulson" <Cllr.Guy.Coulson@scarborough.gov.uk>, Marc Cole <Marc.Cole@scarborough.gov.uk>, Mike Greene <Mike.Greene@scarborough.gov.uk>, Nick Edwards <Nick.Edwards@scarborough.gov.uk>, Lisa Dixon <Lisa.Dixon@scarborough.gov.uk>, StJohn Harris <StJohn.Harris@scarborough.gov.uk>, "Cllr.Derek Bastiman" <Cllr.Derek.Bastiman@scarborough.gov.uk>, "Cllr.Sam Cross" <Cllr.Sam.Cross@scarborough.gov.uk>, "Cllr.Neil Heritage" <Cllr.Neil.Heritage@scarborough.gov.uk>, "Cllr.Guy Smith" <Cllr.Guy.Smith@scarborough.gov.uk>, "Cllr.Clive Pearson" <Cllr.Clive.Pearson@scarborough.gov.uk>, "Cllr.Heather Phillips" <Cllr.Heather.Phillips@scarborough.gov.uk>, "Cllr.Bill Chatt" <Cllr.Bill.Chatt@scarborough.gov.uk>, "Cllr.Liz Colling" <Cllr.Liz.Colling@scarborough.gov.uk>  
**Subject: RE: Confidential papers**

Hi Cllr Cockerill,

Thanks for the email. I have provided the response to this query in a previous email:

*As explained at the call-in and in the report, price is not the only factor in this disposal hence the reliance on the 2003 General Consent and the regeneration benefits associated with the scheme. The disposal is therefore legally and constitutionally permissible without reference to the expression of interest for an unknown development use. I don't think I have anything further to add on this matter.*

As requested, I will arrange for the valuation to be issued to you on a confidential basis.

Carol

---

**NOTA BENE**

Ms REHILL has **NOT** in any way addressed Councillor COCKERILL's **TWO** questions (see above):

---