

From: Anthony wilson
Sent: 4 Jan 2021 12:26:17 +0000
To: Planning Services
Subject: Application Number 20/02167 - Further Objection

[BE CYBER AWARE. THIS IS AN EXTERNAL EMAIL: Please do not click on any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and are expecting the content to be sent to you]

Dear Sir/Madam

Demolition of existing building and erection of building to provide commercial floor space (Class E) at ground floor and accommodation for NHS key workers and students at upper levels at 50-59 Newborough, Scarborough [20/02167/FL] - Amended Plans.

We write in response to the the applicant's submission of revised elevations to the new building intended for the Argos site.

The original proposal was supported by a wealth of information from the architects describing how carefully the new building had been conceived, and designed, to ensure that it complimented its historic surroundings. Nevertheless, (and, seemingly, only following a lot of public criticism) it would appear that they now believe that their design should be revisited, and an altered elevational treatment is being put forward.

However, there has been no fundamental re-appraisal of the main planning considerations; the overdevelopment of the site with too much floor space housed in an unacceptably large structure, and the absence of any meaningful open space. This was the basis of our original objection and underpins the reasons for refusal we suggested in our objection to the planning application made [on November 13th](#). Nothing has changed. The architects have merely chosen to dress up the same building in a different set of clothes, using a number of architectural details to try and persuade us all (again) that, somehow, the proposed building will now fit in with its immediate surroundings.

It will not. It will still dominate the street scenes of the locality. It will still overshadow the neighbouring buildings, both physically and architecturally. It will still fall well short of the objectives for the Town Square, which will be obliterated for another generation. And, finally, it will still contravene the Council's own Local Plan Policies and Adopted Supplementary Planning Guidance.

The proposal remains unacceptably harmful to the character and appearance of this part of the Old Town, and the Conservation Area which it abuts, and should be refused planning permission for the reasons we have already set out.

Yours sincerely,

Jonathan Allison

Gordon Somerville

David Williams

David Green

Chris Hall

Tony Wilson

Sent from my iPad