

MY CORRESPONDENCE WITH MR. JAMES CORRIGAN - November 2020

EMAIL #1: On 02/11/2020 19:39, Nigel wrote:

Mr James CORRIGAN

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST

James,

I hope you will forgive me for contacting you *via* your private email address.

As you will perceive, it comes into my possession consequent to a series of emails which appear to have been shared with a large number of recipients.

It is clear that you may well have fallen foul of SBC's unlawful interception of electronic communications. If so, you may find the following link helpful: <https://bit.ly/3oQGCq0>

Precisely because I (and others at the *Enquirer*) have a personal interest in the unlawful interception of electronic communications, it is my wish and intention to write (and hopefully publish) an article covering your engagement with SBC.

You will be aware, I imagine, that I have already ventured into that topic, here: <https://bit.ly/35XLW1Z>

I hope you will agree to me quoting your correspondence with the Council *without* redacting your name (I would, of course, redact your email address) because I believe that your name, known to the wider public, carries authority and gravity and that the matters which you raise are of profound public interest.

Please be so good as to let me know if this meets with your approval.

Very kind regards,

Nigel

EMAIL #2: On 03/11/2020 21:19, Nigel wrote:

Mr James CORRIGAN

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST

James,

Please forgive my persistence in attempting to secure your consent to include (rather than redact) your name in my forthcoming article on the subject of the treatment extended to you by Scarborough Borough Council.

I must emphasise that the issues you have raised are clearly matters of conspicuous public interest. I repeat, it is not my intention to disclose your contact details.

My email tracker confirms that you opened my initial email at 20:43:42pm on Monday 2nd November 2020. I am therefore aware that my request has come to your attention and I hope that, having had time to reflect, you will now see fit to provide your consent.

Very kind regards,

Nigel

EMAIL #3: On 05/11/2020 21:52, Nigel wrote:

Mr James CORRIGAN

IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST

James,

Firstly, please let me assure you that I will not trouble you further with the matter of my request for your consent to publish your name in relation to your correspondence with Cllr SIDDONS.

However, I would be grateful if you would be so good as to respond to me with either your explicit consent or your explicit refusal.

I regard yours as an extremely vital public interest challenge to, in my view, some unconscionable decision making. I would be derelict in my duty as a reporter of Council affairs if I did not do my utmost to persuade you to attach your name and wide renown to your challenge, without which it stands to be far more easily dismissed. That outcome would serve me, you and the wider public very poorly indeed.

I hope you will find yourself able to respond. Thank you.

Very kind regards,

Nigel
